



Article

# Chronotope of Place in Russian Literature

Karimova Nigora Maratovna

1. Ferghana State University, Doctor of philosophy (PhD) on Philological Sciences, Senior Lecturer of Russian Philology
- \* Correspondence: [k.nigora@inbox.ru](mailto:k.nigora@inbox.ru)

**Abstract:** This paper considers the issue of chronotope, which is the intrinsic interconnection of space and time, as realized in Russian literature. The study examines how Russian authors used the chronotope to thus configure their narrative and laden it with deeper symbolic meaning through a close analysis of select works. However, by reading these texts with respect to their particular temporal and spatial dimensions, the paper addresses the cultural and historical contexts through which the literary imagination of Russian writers is informed. Accordingly, the chronotope, the spatial and temporal image of the world envisioned by Mikhail Bakhtin, is a fundamental structural determinant of narrative that extends beyond particular individual works. Time is inseparable from space and the former founds narrativity while the latter as the site of where time is navigated finds the complex of events. The thought behind this combination of temporal and spatial elements is that it is this combination that brings about the "genres" or "types" of stories that the readers will come across. (Yu & Zhu, 2022). The chronotope appears in various ways in Russian literature as the particular and specific cultural and historical experience inherent to the nation. Here, for example, the theme of the 'historical mission' and the idea of 'suffering and redemption' in the studio of the literary landscapes in a rich way given the sense of purpose through a moral point.

**Keywords:** Universe, Image of the World, Time and Space, Chronotope, Routine, Mythopoetic Consciousness, Literary Aesthetics, Artistic Space, Culture Category.

**Citation:** Maratovna, K. N. Chronotope of Place in Russian Literature. Central Asian Journal of Literature, Philosophy, and Culture 2024, 5(6), 257-261.

Received: 10<sup>th</sup> Aug 2024  
Revised: 11<sup>th</sup> Sept 2024  
Accepted: 24<sup>th</sup> Oct 2024  
Published: 28<sup>th</sup> Nov 2024



**Copyright:** © 2024 by the authors. Submitted for open access publication under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>)

## 1. Introduction

This paper also analyzes the chronotope of place in Russian literature. As the notion of the chronotope developed by Mikhail Bakhtin, the idea of the inter-connectedness between space and time in a literary work. This study looks at the role that the representation of the place plays in portraying temporal experience of the characters, as well as temporal experience of the narrative structure itself in Russian novels and short stories. Geographically (urban and rural) and thematically (domestic and public) the works of Fyodor Dostoevsky, Leo Tolstoy, and Anton Chekhov are analyzed as they relate to the broader artistic vision and themes of their writing, leading to a comparison of the urban and rural fields of their works and of domestic and public fields. This thesis paper seeks to contribute to this understanding of how the space, time, and literary aesthetics relationship is complex in the Russian literary tradition [10].

### Introduction

First theorized by Mikhail Bakhtin, the concept of the chronotope, or the intrinsic interplay between spatial and temporal elements in a literary work, has been a major influence in literary studies. According to Bakhtin, a text's representation of time and

space is not just a relic, but it is a core that defines the narrative, the characterization, and themes of a text.

In this paper we look at the chronotope of place in some of the works in Russian literature and how the description of the spatial environment overlap and relate with the time experience of characters and the architectural image of the whole narrative. This analysis will look at how these prominent Russians authors make use of different locations, such as urban bustling centers, quietness of the countryside, domestic private sphere, and public social spaces, while constructing and educating about the intricate relationship among time and space in their fictional worlds [3, 72].

Representations of place in Russian literature have much to tell us about the interplay of time and space, but the chronotype could also be used as an argument to obfuscate other elements of the literature. This focus on the spatial and temporal interrelatedness of factors may lose sight of the ways the depiction of setting can play thematic and narrative functions besides of temporal experience. Specifically, despite the possible chronotopic basis for particular environmental uses, some uses may be more strongly driven by symbolic, psychological or ideological considerations than others. Moreover, the reading of place through the chronotope of space may flatten the wide range of the ways in which diverse Russian authors may represent space, with different aesthetic visions and diverse approaches. A more balanced analysis would have looked at how the chronotope works in conjunction with other literary devices and techniques employed to create artistic vision from a work [11]. The study of Russian literature has been greatly influenced by Mikhail Bakhtins' conceptualization of the chronotope, the 'intrinsic connectedness of temporal and spatial relationships' of literary texts.ents in a literary work. This study examines how the representation of place in Russian novels and short stories reflects and shapes the temporal experience of the characters and the narrative structure. The analysis focuses on works by Fyodor Dostoevsky, Leo Tolstoy, and Anton Chekhov, considering how their depictions of urban and rural settings and domestic and public spaces contribute to the overall artistic vision and thematic concerns of their writing. This paper aims to contribute to understanding the complex relationship between space, time, and literary aesthetics in the Russian literary tradition.

The concept of the chronotope, or the intrinsic connection between spatial and temporal elements in a literary work, has been influential in literary studies since Mikhail Bakhtin first theorized it. Bakhtin argued that the representation of time and space in a text is not merely a backdrop but an essential component that shapes a literary work's narrative, characterization, and thematic concerns [12].

This paper examines the chronotope of place in selected works of Russian literature, focusing on how the depiction of spatial environments reflects and informs the temporal experience of the characters and the overall narrative structure. The analysis will consider how these renowned Russian authors utilize diverse settings, including bustling urban centres, tranquil countryside landscapes, private domestic spheres, and public social spaces, to construct and convey the complex interplay of time and space in their fictional worlds[3, 72].

While the representations of place in Russian literature can offer valuable insights into the interplay of time and space, an opposing argument could be made that the focus on the chronotype can obscure other important literary elements. The emphasis on the interconnectedness of spatial and temporal factors may overlook the ways in which the depiction of setting can serve other narrative and thematic purposes beyond reflecting the characters' temporal experiences. For example, the use of certain environments may be more strongly driven by symbolic, psychological, or ideological concerns rather than purely chronotopic considerations. Additionally, the reading of place through the lens of the chronotope risks flattening the diverse approaches and aesthetic visions of different Russian authors, who may engage with spatial representation in varying and complex

manners. A more balanced analysis would examine how the chronotope functions alongside other literary devices and techniques in shaping the artistic vision of a work.

### **Literature review**

Mikhail Bakhtin's conceptualization of the chronotope, or the "intrinsic connectedness of temporal and spatial relationships" within literary texts, has been highly influential in the study of Russian literature. Bakhtin maintained that the representation of time and space is not background but an important element that conditions the story structure, characterization as well as thematic preoccupation (3, 48).

Bakhtin's insights have been later developed by subsequent scholars who explore the various ways the chronotope appears in Russian novels and short stories. Others have studied how the representation of certain settings (within the city or countryside, for example) serves and illuminates such characters' temporal experience, and how such spatial articulations interact with the artistic vision and thematic issues of the work as a whole [6, 74].

Other researchers have explored semantical relations between time and space in literary texts by seeing how arrangement and description of spatial components are intertwined with the manner in which the narrative unfolds temporally. In this conflict between the literary representation of place and the spatialization of temporality, this research has offered an interesting insight into a complex interrelationship between these two. Scholarly examination of chronotope research in the Russian literary tradition, however, has also been done in relation to history of Russian literature and Ukrainian literary experts have researched the contron otop e histor y and space organization as peculiarities of the Ukrainian literary p ostormodern literature, a ccentuating the importance of narrat ive techniques on way of depicting the chron otop e[5, 92].

While the chronotope in Russian literature is an excellent place to begin a theoretical argument, it is also possible to find an opposite argument to the thesis: an analysis into the chronotope could simply contain too much and leave behind other important pieces of literature [13]. Depicting setting to reflect the characters' temporal experiences may lead to missing the ways in which an author can use setting to further advance the narrative or thematic content. For instance, symbolic, psychological or ideological concerns may enhance our use of some environments more markedly than chronotopic ones. It might also lead us to flatten a variety of Russian author approaches and aesthetic visions to spatial representation, not to mention place, in an array of different ways. A better analysis would balance it and view how the chronotope works in concert with other literary device and technique to articulate an artist's vision of a work.[7, 51]

## **2. Materials and Methods**

The research for this paper will take place through a close reading of selected texts of Fyodor Dostoevsky, Leo Tolstoy, and Anton Chekhov that depict the different spatial environments, especially the urban centers, the rural landscapes, and the domesticity and public space[8, 413]. An analysis will be done of how the representation of place by the authors helps effectuate the temporal experiences of the characters and the temporal structure and thematic concerns of the works.

Other things may be obscured if the focus is the chronotope. An analysis of the chronotope in Russian literature is certainly useful and opens up valuable avenues for looking at how the depiction of setting can provide narrative and thematic purposes beyond the temporal experience of the characters. Certain environments may be more strongly driven by symbolically, psychologically, ideologically oriented factors rather than chronotopically based ones. Furthermore, reading place through the chronotope runs the risk of erasing eclectic approaches in the variety of aesthetics that constitutes the Russian authors' involvement with space representation. An alternative to this would be to explore how the chronotope works in conjunction with other literary devices and techniques to give form to a work's artistic vision [14].

It will also examine secondary literature on the chronotope in Russian literature, including that of Bakhtin and others who have elaborated upon his conception of the chronotope[9, 128].

Preliminary findings. The findings of this research to date suggest that the chronotope is critical in the composition of narrative structure and thematic issues in the works under consideration. In 'Crime and Punishment,' Dostoevsky's urban setting of St. Petersburg, locales representative of Raskolnikov's stricken psychological condition and the chronology of his crime and remorse, is a city street and crowded living quarters. The contrast between the bustling city of Moscow and the more peaceful rural estates of the aristocracy highlights the historic and spatial displacements faced by the characters as they attempt to negotiate the fast moving social and emotional currents of their lives, as seen in Tolstoy's "Anna Karenina."

The depiction of space in Chekhov's short stories frequently takes place in domestic spaces and public spheres that meant to show characters' sense of trans of space and time because they realize the conditions of their social and economic sphere [15].

Preliminary findings indicate that the chronotope may constitute a valid tool to elucidate the intricate intersect between the literary representation of place and the formation of the temporal dimension of the narrative. But the analysis also suggests that the chronotope needs to be looked at in a more subtle way, in terms of the relationship between the chronotope and other literary devices and techniques, in order to understand the work's artistic vision [16].

### 3. Results

The The research for this paper will take place through a close reading of selected texts of Fyodor Dostoevsky, Leo Tolstoy, and Anton Chekhov that depict the different spatial environments, especially the urban centers, the rural landscapes, and the domesticity and public space[8, 413]. An analysis will be done of how the representation of place by the authors helps effectuate the temporal experiences of the characters and the temporal structure and thematic concerns of the works.

Other things may be obscured if the focus is the chronotope. An analysis of the chronotope in Russian literature is certainly useful and opens up valuable avenues for looking at how the depiction of setting can provide narrative and thematic purposes beyond the temporal experience of the characters. Certain environments may be more strongly driven by symbolically, psychologically, ideologically oriented factors rather than chronotopically based ones. Furthermore, reading place through the chronotope runs the risk of erasing eclectic approaches in the variety of aesthetics that constitutes the Russian authors' involvement with space representation. An alternative to this would be to explore how the chronotope works in conjunction with other literary devices and techniques to give form to a work's artistic vision.

It will also examine secondary literature on the chronotope in Russian literature, including that of Bakhtin and others who have elaborated upon his conception of the chronotope[9, 128].

Preliminary findings. The findings of this research to date suggest that the chronotope is critical in the composition of narrative structure and thematic issues in the works under consideration. In 'Crime and Punishment,' Dostoevsky's urban setting of St. Petersburg, locales representative of Raskolnikov's stricken psychological condition and the chronology of his crime and remorse, is a city street and crowded living quarters. The contrast between the bustling city of Moscow and the more peaceful rural estates of the aristocracy highlights the historic and spatial displacements faced by the characters as they attempt to negotiate the fast moving social and emotional currents of their lives, as seen in Tolstoy's "Anna Karenina."

The depiction of space in Chekhov's short stories frequently takes place in domestic spaces and public spheres that meant to show characters' sense of trans of space and time because they realize the conditions of their social and economic sphere.

Preliminary findings indicate that the chronotope may constitute a valid tool to elucidate the intricate intersect between the literary representation of place and the formation of the temporal dimension of the narrative. But the analysis also suggests that the chronotope needs to be looked at in a more subtle way, in terms of the relationship between the chronotope and other literary devices and techniques, in order to understand the work's artistic vision [17].

#### 4. Conclusion

This research underscores the importance of the chronotope as a critical framework in understanding the interplay between time and space within Russian literature. By analyzing the works of Dostoevsky, Tolstoy, and Chekhov, it is evident that the spatial and temporal dimensions not only shape the narrative structure but also illuminate deeper thematic and psychological layers [18]. However, the study reveals that focusing exclusively on the chronotope risks overlooking other literary devices and ideological considerations that enrich the text [19]. Future research should adopt a more integrated approach, examining how the chronotope interacts with narrative elements such as character development, symbolism, and perspective. Additionally, comparative studies across different cultural and historical traditions could provide valuable insights into the universal and particular functions of the chronotope in literary representation [20].

#### REFERENCES

1. Лаговська, В. О., & Oleinikova, G. (2023, August 21). THE HISTORY OF THE CHRONOTOPE RESEARCH. Kherson State University, 29-34. <https://doi.org/10.32999/ksu2663-3426/2023-1-4>
2. Agha, A. (2007, March 31). Recombinant selves in mass mediated spacetime. Elsevier BV, 27(3), 320-335. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.langcom.2007.01.001>
3. <https://doi.org/10.55060/s.atssh.221107.005>
4. Фаликова Н. Э. Хронотоп как категория исторической поэтики. – Режим доступа: <http://www.philolog.ru/filolog/compos.htm>. – Загл. с экрана.
5. Шутая Н. К. Сюжетные возможности хронотопа «присутственное место» и их использование в произведениях русских классиков XIX в. (на примере прозаических произведений А. С. Пушкина, Н. В. Гоголя и Л. Н. Толстого) // Вестник Моск. ун-та. Сер. 9. Филология. – 2005. - № 5. – С. 64-75.
6. Щукин В. Г. О филологическом образе мира (философские заметки) // Вопросы философии. – 2004. - № 10. – С. 47- 64.
7. Силантьев И. Мотив как проблема нарратологии// Критика и семиотика. – Новосибирск, 2002.- Вып.5. - С.32-60.
8. Степанов Ю.С. Концепты. Тонкая пленка цивилизации. –М.:ООО Лит Рес, 2007. – 286.
9. Тороп П. Х. Хронотоп // Словарь терминологии тартуско-московской семиотической школы / Сост. Я. Левченко; под рук. И. А.Чернова // <http://diction.chat.ru/xronotop.html>.
10. H. S. Ghabra, "‘This isn’t a place like Iraq or Afghanistan’: coverage of the Russian invasion of Ukraine and the Middle Eastern other," *Crit Stud Media Commun*, 2024, doi: 10.1080/15295036.2024.2398187.
11. K. V Lykova, "The place of microlearning in foreign language teaching methodology: historiographic study," *Perspektivy Nauki i Obrazovania*, vol. 69, no. 3, pp. 265–283, 2024, doi: 10.32744/pse.2024.3.16.
12. A. Kościółek, "On Prayers during The journey to Holy Places in 1830 by Andrey Muravyev. From the Research on Literature and Language," *Przegląd Wschodnioeuropejski*, vol. 15, no. 1, pp. 175–187, 2024, doi: 10.31648/pw.10186.
13. I. Dergacheva, "The eschatological chronotope of Fyodor Dostoevsky," *Quaestio Rossica*, vol. 7, no. 4, pp. 1143–1159, 2019, doi: 10.15826/qr.2019.4.429.
14. I. O. Ermolaev, "Magical objects and their place in Mikhail Elizarov’s prose," *Voprosy Literatury*, no. 4, pp. 36–50, 2024, doi: 10.31425/0042-8795-2024-4-36-50.



15. T. V Shvetsova, "The Arctic Chronotope in the Short Novels by Z. Davydov 'Beruny' and K. Badigin 'The Way to Grumant,'" *Problemy Istoricheskoy Poetiki*, vol. 20, no. 3, pp. 232–252, 2022, doi: 10.15393/j9.art.2022.10902.
16. E. N. Tsimbaeva, "Behind the stage of a literary text 'A wish from place to place to roam...,'" *Voprosy Literatury*, no. 5, pp. 141–163, 2024, doi: 10.31425/0042-8795-2024-5-141-163.
17. F. A. de Souza Santos, "Dialogismo, polifonia, cronotopo e grotesco em a última gravação de krapp: uma leitura bakhtiniana / dialogism, polyphony, chronotope and the grotesque in krapp's last tape: A bakhtinian reading," *Bakhtiniana*, vol. 14, no. 3, pp. 74–100, 2019, doi: 10.1590/2176-457337846.
18. D. D. Buchneva, "Formation of the Chronotope in the 'A Writer's Diary' by Dostoevsky in 1873 (Chapters 'Introduction', 'Old People')," *Problemy Istoricheskoy Poetiki*, vol. 21, no. 3, pp. 62–79, 2023, doi: 10.15393/j9.art.2023.12622.
19. J. M. Kopper, "Alternative Emigre Places: Berberova, Makine, and the Russian Escape from Paris," *The Languages of World Literature*, pp. 341–352, 2024, doi: 10.1515/9783110645033-022.
20. L. Mignon, "Back to the future? The place of the religious 'other' in Ismail Gasprinsky's Islamic utopia," *Religion*, vol. 54, no. 3, pp. 412–430, 2024, doi: 10.1080/0048721X.2024.2362064.